

Ghost Blogging Platform Web Application Penetration Test Code Review

Matteo Beccaro (aka bughardy - bughardy@cryptolab.net)Performers:Paolo Stagno (aka voidsec - voidsec@voidsec.com)Abdel Adim Oisif (aka smaury - info@shielder.it)

Index

1. Introduction	3
1.1 Full Disclosure Policy	3
2. Key Findings	4
2.1 XSS	4
2.2 Denial of Service	4
2.3 Privilege Issues	4
2.4 Various	5
3. Vulnerability Details	6
3.1 XSS	6
3.1.1 XSS in blog/user's images	6
3.1.2 XSS in Tab Manager	7
3.2 Denial of Service	11
3.2.1 Uncontrolled Resources Consumption	11
3.3 Privilege Issues	13
3.3.1 Privilege Reduction	13
3.3.2 Privilege Bypass	15
3.3.3 Privilege Escalation	17
3.4 Various	19
3.4.1 Unsafe token storage	19
3.5 Related	20
4. Appendix	21
4.1 Tools	21
4.2 About the team	22

1. Introduction

Ghost is becoming a widespread platform for blogging. We saw an increasing number of users leaving Wordpress and embrace more minimal blogging platforms, which focus on writing and reading. In this, Ghost is one of the most used software and since it is getting a lot of attention we started worrying about the security of its users; moreover, I myself (Matteo Beccaro) started using Ghost as software for my blog.

The test we made has been performed by the team harming no one.

For the Code Review part we used a local copy of the source code of the application downloaded from GitHub¹.

For the Web Application Penetration Test we used a server of myself in which I installed a clean version of Ghost at its latest release at the time of writing².

In the following chapters you will find a description of vulnerabilities found during the test and for each:

- A table with the estimated severity of the specific vulnerability
- A description of how it can be exploited
- [Optional] The piece of source code faulty for the vulnerability
- Screenshots or Proof of Concept
- Credits to who found the vulnerability

1.1 Full Disclosure Policy

Our full disclosure policy gives the vendor 30 days before the vulnerabilities can be disclosed publicly or as soon as the vulnerabilities have been fixed.

If the vendor doesn't reply within a week from our initial attempt of contact we can disclose the advisory publicly.

The corresponding CVEs of each vulnerability will be request by us, the team, before sending the advisory to the vendor.

All the information included in this advisory are strictly confidential; sharing it, in any form, before the 30 days has passed or before the vendor's releasing the fixes are not allowed.

For the complete policy visit: https://voidsec.com/disclosure-policy/

¹ https://github.com/TryGhost/Ghost

² 0.5.8

2. Key Findings

In this chapter I'll list all the vulnerabilities found during the test by the team, later I'll discuss one by one in details.

2.1 XSS

An XSS allows an attacker to inject code client-side which will be then executed on the victim machine.

There are two different types of XSS, stored and reflected.

The first type is the most dangerous since the inject code (ex. Javascript) is stored on the web page and will be triggered by all the users.

The second type instead requires the code to be injected by the victim itself, and it is not stored on the webpage. After the user leave the page the code will be removed.

In Ghost we found three stored XSS which can lead, for example, to session hijacking.

- XSS in blog's Logo and Cover
- XSS in user's Avatar and Cover
- XSS in the Tag Manager

2.2 Denial of Service

A DoS vulnerability allows an attacker to create a malfunction in the target server. The most common problem is when an attacker with more bandwidth than the server try to overload its network capacity, denying the access to the real users. In our case instead the vulnerability is within the application, and it can lead to a server crash.

• DoS, Uncontrolled Resource Consumption in Filesystem.

2.3 Privilege Issues

A privilege issue can lead to several problems, for example a Privilege Escalation is used to increase the privileges of an user, for example making it an administrator. In Ghost we found a Privilege Reduction which can lead to a denial of service, and several Privilege Bypass which I'll discuss later.

- Privilege Reduction which can lead to a privilege escalation
- Privilege Bypass in reading stored drafts
- Privilege Escalation in publishing posts

2.4 Various

I'll list here vulnerabilities which are not included in the previous categories. We have found that the token used by the software to keep the user authenticated is not stored safety, which can lead to session hijacking if jointly with a XSS vulnerability.

• Unsafe token storage

3. Vulnerability Details

3.1 XSS

3.1.1 XSS in blog/user's images

A stored XSS has been found in blog's image, in blog's cover and in user's avatar and user's cover.

This can lead to arbitrary execution of code client-side, like javascript.

To notice that only old browser version are vulnerable. Moreover to exploit it in blog's image and blog's cover the user must be authenticated as administrator or owner, instead for user's avatar and cover it could be just an author.

The following tables summarize the severity of the vulnerabilities.

Likelihood LO		LOW	Technical Impact	LOW		Busines Impact	S	LOW	
		Base	Score		Temporal Modificator				
Access Vector	Acce Comple	ess exity	Authentication	Impact	Exploitability Remed		bility Remediation Awa		ireness
6	5		3	4	3	3 NdA		A No	
	Envi	rovmen	t Modificator		Overall Score				
Collateral Damage	Target	Target Distribution Requ		uirement		Fi	nal Score		
NdA		NdA N		NdA		4.	0		

Stored XSS in blog's images

Likeliho	lihood LOW		Technical Impact	LOW		Busines Impact	s	LOW	
		Base S	Score		Temporal Modificator				
Access Vector	Acco Compl	ess exity	Authentication	Impact	Exploitability	Remediation Awa		Awa	reness
6	5		4	4	4	Nd	A NdA		ldA
	Envi	rovment	Modificator		Overall Score				
Collateral Damage	Target	Distribut	ion Requi	rement	Final Score				
NdA		NdA	Ν	dA	4.5		5		

Stored XSS in users' images

The following piece of code shows what can cause the vulnerability(ies) of above.

```
updateConfigTheme = function () {
    config.set({
        theme: {
            title: (settingsCache.title && settingsCache.title.value) || '',
            description: (settingsCache.description && settingsCache.description.value) || '',
            logo: (settingsCache.logo && settingsCache.logo.value) || '',
            cover: (settingsCache.cover && settingsCache.cover.value) || ''
        }
    });
```

Credits: Abdel Adim Oisif

3.1.2 XSS in Tab Manager

An other stored XSS has been found in Tag Manager; any users (author, editor, administrator or owner) can create a new post and put, for example, javascript code as tag for the post. When someone, like the administrator, notices that and proceeds to delete it, the javascript code is triggered and, for example, the administrator token is stolen and his session hijacked.

The following table summarize the severity of the vulnerabilitie.

Likelihoo	kelihood LOW		Technical Impact	MEDIO		Busines Impact	S	LOW				
		Base Scor	e		Temporal Modificator							
Access Vector	Acce Comple	ss Au exity	thentication	Impact	Exploitability	Remediation Level		Remediation Level		lity Remediation Aware		reness
6	5		4	6	7	Nd	4	NdA				
	Envir	ovment Mo	dificator		Overall Score							
Collateral Damage	Target I	Distribution	Requi	irement	Final Score							
						5.8	3					

Are you sure you want to delete this tag?									
WARNING: This tag is attached to 1 post. You're about to delete " <img src="x</b"/> onerror="alert(1)">". This is permanent! No backups, no restores, no magic undo button. We warned you, ok?									
Cancel DELETE	1								

Delation of malicious tag



Javascript alert



Request and Response with JS injection



Source code with JS embedded

The following piece of code shows what can cause the vulnerability(ies) of above

```
confirmAccept: function () {
  var tag = this.get('model'),
    name = tag.get('name'),
    self = this;
  this.send('closeSettingsMenu');
  tag.destroyRecord().then(function () {
    self.notifications.showSuccess('Deleted ' + name);
  }).catch(function (error) {
    self.notifications.showAPIError(error);
  });
},
```

Credits: Abdel Adim Oisif

3.2 Denial of Service

3.2.1 Uncontrolled Resources Consumption

An important vulnerability has been found in Ghost platform. It will lead to a denial of service and possible to a server crash. It allows an authenticated attacker, author, editor, administrator or owner, doesn't matter, to exhaust the filesystem space. This because when an user update/change his avatar or cover the previous one is not deleted, moreover no control on image size is done.

The following table summarize the severity of the vulnerability.

Likelihood		LOW		Technical Impact	MED	MEDIUM		LOW		
		Base	Score		Temporal Modificator					
Access Vector	Acco Compl	ess exity	Authentication	Impact	Exploitability	Remediation Aware		Remediation Awar Level Awar		Awareness
6	5	4		7	7	7 NdA		NdA		
	Envi	rovment	Modificator		Overall Score					
Collateral Damage	Target	Target Distribution Require			Final Score					
NdA		NdA	I	NdA	5.7		7			

The following piece of code shows what can cause the vulnerability(ies) of above. As you can see the "deletion" of the previous image is all done client-side

```
UploadUi = function ($dropzone, settings) {
    var $url = '<div class="js-url"><input class="url js-upload-url" type="url"
    placeholder="http://"/></div>',
        $cancel = '<a class="image-cancel js-cancel" title="Delete"><span
    class="hidden">Delete</span></a>',
        $progress = $('<div />', {
            class: 'js-upload-progress progress progress-success active',
            role: 'progressbar',
            'aria-valuemin': '0',
            'aria-valuemax': '100'
        }).append($('<div />', {
            class: 'js-upload-progress-bar bar',
            style: 'width:0%'
        }));
```

```
root@ubuntu:~/ghost/content/images/2015/01# ls -l
total 8
-rw-r--r-- 1 root root 5229 Jan 22 16:26 bughardy.png
root@ubuntu:~/ghost/content/images/2015/01#
```

First Image uploaded

```
root@ubuntu:~/ghost/content/images/2015/01# ls -1
total 16
-rw-r--r-- 1 root root 5229 Jan 22 16:27 bughardy-1.png
-rw-r--r-- 1 root root 5229 Jan 22 16:26 bughardy.png
root@ubuntu:~/ghost/content/images/2015/01#
```

Second Image uploaded, first image still there

Credits: Paolo Stagno

3.3 Privilege Issues

3.3.1 Privilege Reduction

A privilege reduction is a vulnerability meant to reduce the privileges of an other users. This can be done for several reasons, for example create a disruption; let's suppose an author can remove all the administrators and editors, who can then moderate his posts? This privilege reduction leads to a privilege escalation in a very interesting way. Let's suppose that the John is an editor, and Mark is the Owner of the blog. Now, John want to became an administrator, he can now reduce Mark's privilege to the one of an Administrator, and while doing it he can also change personal details of the target, for example changing Mark's email to one of his own (note: to change details of an other users with more privileges you must reduce them and change the details in the same request). Then resetting the Mark's password he will receive the email and now can change Mark's password and login into his account.

You should pay attention that an author cannot change an other user's role to something more than level 3, which means "Author". That said you can see an other problem here; an author can edit details of any other authors, for example changing their email, or setting their account warn level to "locked", or resetting the warn level to be able to brute force their password.

Likelihood MEDIUM		EDIUM	Technical Impact	HIGH		Business Impact		MEDIUM			
		Base Sc	ore		Temporal Modificator						
Access Vector	Acce Comple	ess exity	Authentication	Impact	Exploita	ability	Remediation Av Level Av		Remediation Awa		areness
6	5		4	8	8		Nd	NdA		NdA	
	Envi	rovment A	odificator		Overall Score						
Collateral Damage	Target Distribution Requi		rement	nt F			al Score				
NdA		NdA	Ν	dA	6.8		3				



Request of User infos



Proof that users is logged as author - check token for future reference

Request	Response
Raw Params Headers Hex	Raw Headers Hex
<pre>Put /ghost/api/v0.1/users/5/7inolude=roles HTTP/1.1 Hosti 167.88.40.20181 Accept: application/json, text/javasoript, */*; g=0.01 Authoriration: Bearer Q6X863FerDagi35ymcSthyWypHEnz3jOUF76N53xz0YmFY6nzqYixRIvwzLOW4ijVTONBbITUUDQvjJxuXlbS6Ex hhtpg5proifcr58TaVTXSHqXuuc00FGDgY83D5eDyrurL3rfdrKN15uHDrX655Adwf67xaA1zaFhukryKwwsTO adg2U8g7050jRQcH12UrQC3VO4BwK65PdUHAIEo7wswo7dWvYoxQg2AOYHiUUHHNb7ev43gg Proxy-Connection: keep-alive X-Requested-With: XLMttpRequest Accept-Longdnage: it-it Accept-Longdnage: it-it Accept-Longding: grip, deflate Content-Type: application/json; charset=UTF-8 Origin: http://167.88.40.20181/ Contention: keep-alive User-Agent: Moxilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_9_5) AppleWebKit/600.1.17 (KHTML, like Gecko Version/7.1 Safari/537.85.10 Referer: http://167.88.40.20181/ghost/settings/users/author=2/ ("users":[("id":1", "name":"owner, "slng":"Downgraded To author", "email":"owner@rowner.tr.", "image:":", "overated at::"2015-01-16709:41:19.0308", ", "accessibility:null, status:" active", "language::en_US", "mata title":null, "meta descri ption":null, "last_login":"2015-01-21720:38:10.2268", "updated_Dy":5, "roles::[("id":"3"," unid:"7ad28322-e242-4584-b234-bd08224a02aa", "name::"Author", "description":"Authors", "created dat":"2015-01-14719:4213.1238", "updated_at":"2015-01-14719:4213.1238", "oreated_by":1,"updated_Dy":1,"upd</pre>	<pre>MYTEY/1.1 200 OK Server: nginx/1.1.19 Date: Wed, 21 Jan 2015 23:20:57 GMT Content-Ergpt: application/json; charset=utf=8 Content-Ergpt: 662 Content-Ergpt: Kpress Cache-Control: no-cache, private, no-store, must-revalidate, max-stale=0, post-check=0, pre-check=0 X-Cache-Tuvalidate: /* Vary: AcceptEncoding ("users":[("id":1,"uuid":"c708daa5-cf47-42d0-9aa8-0ed6201204c5","name":"owner","slug":"dow ngraded-to-author","email:"owner@owner.it","image":","cover:":","bio:"a\n","website":"" ,"location":"","accepsithilty":null,"status":"attive","almguage":em_US","metat_itle":null ,"meta_description":null,"last_login":"2015-01-2120:38:10.226%","updated_at":"2015-01-16T 09:41:19.030%","oreated_by":1,"updated_at":"2015-01-2122:20:56.9455","updated_at":"2015-01-16T 09:41:19.0308","updated_at":"2015-01-14T19:42:13.1235","oreated_by":1,"updated_at":"2015-01-14T19 :42:13.1235","updated_by":1)]))</pre>

Request and Response for User downgrade and email changing

Credits: Matteo Beccaro

3.3.2 Privilege Bypass

This vulnerability allows any users to read any users' drafts. It's a bypass because it is not suppose to be correct that an author can read all owner drafts for example. This is due an unchecked parameter during the request of current draft of the users; we can change this parameter to spoofing ourself as any other users, we just need to know its "slug", which is not a confidential information since is public known, also to unauthenticated users.

Likelihood		MEDIUM		Technica Impact	l	LOW		Business Impact		LOW
		Base	Score			Temporal Modificator				
Access Vector	Acce Comple	ess exity	Authentication	Impact	Explo	Exploitability Remedia Leve		ility Remediation Awa		areness
6	7	7 4		4		7	Nd	4	1	NdA
	Envi	rovment	Modificator		Overall Score					
Collateral Damage	Target	Target Distribution Requi		quirement		Final Sco				
NdA		NdA		NdA		4.7		,		

Request	Response
Raw Params Headers Hex	Raw Headers Hex
<pre>gtr /dost/api/0.1/posts/7status=all6staticFages=all6page=Lauthormownerkinclude=tags HTTP/1.1 Nost: 167.08.40.20101 Nost: 167.08.40.201012 Nost: 167.08.40.20101 Nost: 167.08.40.201012 Nost: 167.08.40.201012 Nost: 167.08.40.20101 Nost: 167.08.40.20101 Nost: 167.08.40.20101 Nost: 167.08.40.20101 Nost: 167.08.40.20101 Nost: 167.08.40.20101 Nost: 167.08.40.2010 Nost: 167.08.40.401 Nost: 167.08.40.401 Nost: 167.08.40.401 Nost: 167.08.40.401 Nost: 167.08.40 Nost: 167.</pre>	<pre>Mrms/1.1 200 ox Server: ngink/1.1.15 Date: Wed, 21 Jan 2015 20140107 GWT Content-Type: application/json; charset=utf=8 Connection: keep-allve Scabae-Control: no-Content, private, no-store, must-revalidate, max-stale=0, post-check=0, pre-check=0 Trag: W'rindeutDarRightputw==" Yary: Accept-Encoding Content-Length: 1115 ("posts::[c:id+15, "midd": *461294-a0dd-4ef9-91d5-574d30abbd6", "title::"comer:s fact,", "html:"crpeThis post is written by the owner of this blog.", "html:"crpeThis post is written by the owner of this blog.", "html:"crpeThis post is written by the owner of this blog.", "html:"crpeThis post is written by the owner of this blog.", "html:"crpeThis post is written by the owner of this blog.", "html:"crpeThis post is written by the owner of this blog.", "html:"crpeThis post is written by the owner of this blog.", "html:"crpeThis post is written by the owner of this blog.", "html:"crpeThis post is written by the owner of this blog.", "pated act": "2015-001-21720:1420.7257, "updated act": "2015-001-21720:1100.2757," oreated by:11, "updated_act": "2015-001-21720:1420.7257, "updated_act": "2015-001-21720:1001.7276," "mat_ trai("pagnistion": "page"1, "this:", "compage: "1, "total:", "total:", "total:", "total: croi("total:":slop:", "inage; "total: "come": "null, "total:", "total: croi("total:":slop:", "inage; "total:": "come: "null, "total:", "total:", "total: com:"null, "accessibility:"null, "tatis:": "come: "null, "total:", "total</pre>

Request in which we spoofed our ID in order to read owner's drafts.

Credits: Matteo Beccaro

3.3.3 Privilege Escalation

An important flaws in Ghost is the possibility for an user to spoof his identity and publish an article with in name of an other users. This means that an author can, for example, publish an article in name of the owner for example; and then there is not way to say who really published that article.

Likelihood MEDIUM		Technical Impact	MEDIUM		Business Impact	5	MEDIUM			
		Base Sco	re		Temporal Modificator					
Access Vector	Acce Comple	ess Ar exity	uthentication	Impact	Exploitability	Remediation Awa		Awa	reness	
6	5		4	7	8	Nd	A NdA		٩dA	
	Envir	ovment Mo	odificator		Overall Score					
Collateral Damage	Target I	Distribution	Requi	rement	Final Score					
NdA		NdA	Ν	dA	6.5		j			



Request and Response in which an Author publish an article in name of the blog's owner.



21 JANUARY 2015

This post is written by the owner of the blog. All opinions belong to him.

Owner

Share this post

Read <u>more posts</u> by this author.

The blog post is published with Owner as author.

Credits: Matteo Beccaro

Ghost WAPT & CR

VoidSec Advisory 2015 https://voidsec.com https://voidsec.com/disclosure-policy

3.4 Various

3.4.1 Unsafe token storage

In Ghost we found that the Bearer token is not stored as cookie or with certified information which could prevent a it to be stolen, instead in it simply stored into *localStorage* of the browser; this, within an XSS vulnerability can lead to session hijacking. The token should be put into a cookie with the HttpOnly flag enabled in order to prevent this kind of problem.

Likelihood MEDIUM		Technical Impact	MEDIUM		Business Impact	MEDIUM				
		Base S	Score		Temporal Modificator					
Access Vector	Acce Comple	ess exity	Authentication	Impact	Exploitability	Remediation Level		ity Remediation Awaren		wareness
6	4		4		4	7	7	Nd	4	NdA
	Envi	rovment	Modificator		Overall Score					
Collateral Damage	Target	Target Distribution Requ		rement		Fin	al Score			
NdA		NdA	Ν	dA	5.5		5			

> Object.keys(localStorage)

{ ["ghost:session"]

> localStorage.getItem("ghost:session")

% "("authentigunguturus") with authenticator:oauth2-password-grant", "access_token":"LvirJxoJ23IGXLrIICj60vKjW4xzQXYmU5NIrjTGlPoH3QTGDhDFmrY54RqN2r2tWL02FZcn9zH0RVnXWAk3VJvpIe28etgaK4FJoMvu72rsAByzIlPA iX4esg5YmEIv79PA1LuxY9ug9PKXt8XIgldwvrbowkYmr6pCMMKfyLpU57G0MrekH0b1EhoorToryTELM9qxeI00FpATqbLH4mU3WbDMA108r0FgGuToaLadqYh7cbihftsrLUav0", "expires_in":3600, "token_type":"Bearer", "expires_at":14218860 10407, "refresh_token":"MjgLLRMfEQ4BvrKVPUsC7MUzwXJK03c0ukEYhqMhL4MftaRhhVljyLAbC6VabngotRIVTNWrZoK2eU0g2gA34q0pUcGaeCK0k6lRrkQS0PmYg9Tx9TlzL4zkaFDnNhx5n3FYRCbCNFdzySK743NsLCjaJjiY3UueKJgUV1JFRSfywUX5FgS MM0UR8kvJ4oe7RCB9IFGr0593g0LLm0ftKjh6jrU0Lm15X3IPukw99zdFKDD0Ga6kZx36aUE7DBLB"}"

Javascript access to the local stored token

Credits: Matteo Beccaro

3.5 Related

In here I would like to point two possible vulnerabilities; the first one is not directly caused by Ghost dev team, while for the second one the team was not sure about its nature, if it is a features or not.

The first problematic is the use of bcryptCompare function in order to check if the hash of the password provided by the users is the same as the one stored into the database.

```
if (user.get('status') !== 'locked') {
    return bcryptCompare(object.password, user.get('password')).then(function (matched) {
        if (!matched) {
            ...
```

This function is vulnerable to timing attack and should then be avoided.

```
for (var i = 0; i < max_length; ++i) {
    if (hash_data_length >= i && encrypted_length >= i && hash_data[i] != encrypted[i]) {
        same = false;
    }
}
```

We will contact the dev of the lib to point out the problem.

The second problem instead is within Ghost. It is possible for any users, Authors, Editors, Administrator and Owner, to inject javascript code into an article. This can lead to an XSS very easy to exploit; and with the previous vulnerabilities we pointed can cause several damage. But the team was not sure if it is a features that Ghost team want to keep or will be replaced or removed in the future.

Credits: Matteo Beccaro

4. Appendix

4.1 Tools

The team used several tools to perform the test, both opensource and proprietary.

- Burp Proxy
- Fiddler
- Tamper Data Firefox extension
- Python
- Curl
- ZAP Proxy

4.2 About the team

Matteo Beccaro:

Matteo Beccaro, aka bughardy, is a young Security Researcher. Employee at Secure Network, an Italian security firm based in Milan. He's been selected as speaker for various international conferences, like: DEFCON21, 30th CCC, DEFCON22's Skytalks, BlackHat US 2014's Arsenal, BlackHat EU's Arsenal, Tetcon 2015. He is also leader of Technical Research Leader at OPFOR, a Physical Security dep. of Secure Network, with focus on: EACs, Ticketing Security, Physical Penetration tests and Device Vulnerability Research.

Twitter:	<u>@ bughardy</u>
Email:	bughardy@cryptolab.net
Web Site:	https://bughardy.me

Paolo Stagno:

Paolo Stagno, aka VoidSec, is a Cyber Security Analyst for iDialoghi, an Italian security firm based in Milan. He's consultant specialized in Penetration Test, Vulnerability Assessment, Information Security, Technology Risk, Network and Application Security for a wide range of clients across top tier international bank, major companies and industries. He is attending as speaker for various international conferences, like: DEFCON, BlackHat and Droidcon. He is also the leader and founder of VoidSec.com

Twitter:@Void_SecEmail:voidsec@voidsec.com

Abdel Adim Oisfi:

Abdel Adim Oisfi aka smaury is the CEO, Penetration Tester and Security Researcher of Shielder. His main activities are penetration tests against web and mobile applications. He is UNIX-addicted and an Open Source Evangelist, always looking for new technologies and new ways to hack them.

Email: info@shielder.it

About VoidSec.com

We believe that, especially in Italy, in the last few years, the underground hacking community died, not for a lack of ideas or skills but because, in our opinion, we lost two fundamental requirements: a meeting place and the possibility to share. VoidSec.com intends to give to all hackers a meeting place, where ideas can be shared freely; where: who know can return the knowledge to the community and a place where the inexperienced can learn.

Web Site: https://www.voidsec.com